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The Hahm–Kulsrud (HK) [T. S. Hahm and R. M. Kulsrud, Phys. Fluids 28, 2412 (1985)] solutions for a magnetically sheared plasma slab driven by a resonant periodic boundary perturbation illustrate fully shielded (current sheet) and fully reconnected (magnetic island) responses. On the global scale, reconnection involves solving a magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) equilibrium problem. In systems with a continuous symmetry, such MHD equilibria are typically found by solving the Grad–Shafranov equation, and in slab geometry the elliptic operator in this equation is the 2-D Laplacian. Thus, assuming appropriate pressure and poloidal current profiles, a conformal mapping method can be used to transform one solution into another with different boundary conditions, giving a continuous sequence of solutions in the form of partially reconnected magnetic islands (plasmoids) separated by Syrovatsky current sheets. The two HK solutions appear as special cases. © 2013 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4817276]

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been renewed interest in the secondary tearing instability of high-Lundquist-number current sheets1 called the “plasmoid instability”.2 Numerical simulations, supported by heuristic scaling arguments,3 demonstrate that if the Lundquist number (S) based on the length of the current sheet exceeds a threshold4–8 the instability breaks up a Sweet–Parker current layer into a sequence of magnetic islands separated by a sequence of current sheets,9 and evolves into a new nonlinear regime of reconnection in which the reconnection rate becomes nearly independent of S,10–13 These simulation results suggest that there might exist partially reconnected plasmoid solutions of the magnetostatic equilibrium equations in which plasmoids exist, separated by segments of current sheets. In this paper, we show that such solutions can indeed be constructed within the framework of the Hahm–Kulsrud–Taylor (HKT) model, described below.

The HKT model, developed by Hahm and Kulsrud14 following a suggestion by J. B. Taylor, considers the response of a plasma slab with a sheared unperturbed magnetic field to a resonant perturbation applied at the boundaries x = ±a. In Cartesian coordinates x, y, z, the magnetic field B is represented as Vz × Vψ(x, y) + Bz(x, y)Vz. The unperturbed “poloidal” flux function ψ is ψ0(x) = Bz0x2/2a, where the constant Bz0 is the strength of the poloidal magnetic field at the boundary x = a.

In Ref. 14, the “toroidal” field Bt was assumed to be effectively constant and much larger than Bz0 to allow incompressibility to be assumed during a discussion of reconnection dynamics, but in this paper we will be concerned only with finding static equilibrium solutions so this assumption is not necessary. Instead, we regard the Bt profile function F(ψ) in the Grad–Shafranov equation as free to choose, and assume it is chosen so that Bt2/2μ0 + p is linear in ψ, where p(ψ) is the pressure (and, for SI units, μ0 is the permeability of free space). This makes the Grad–Shafranov equation linear (though inhomogeneous) allowing analytic solutions to be obtained.

The perturbed flux function at the boundaries is assumed to be

$$\psi(\pm a, y) = \frac{1}{2} a B_{t0} + B_{t0} \delta \cos ky$$

$$\equiv a B_{t0} \left( \frac{1}{2} \pm \alpha \cos ky \right),$$

where \(\alpha \equiv \delta/a\) is a dimensionless parameter measuring the strength of the perturbation, \(\delta\) being the amplitude of a notional small boundary ripple of wavelength \(\lambda = 2\pi/k\), the given planar boundary conditions being, to linear order in \(\alpha\) ≪ 1, equivalent to a symmetric geometric rippling of perfectly conducting bounding walls.

This rippling is illustrated in Fig. 1, though the value of \(\alpha = 0.1\) we use in our standard illustrative case, defined in the caption, is rather too large for the ripples to be even approximately sinusoidal. However, in this paper we do not really need to ripple the boundary and take the HKT boundary conditions Eq. (1) as exact, so that \(\alpha\) need not be infinitesimal. Thus, because of the assumed linearity of the Grad–Shafranov equation mentioned above, we have exact linearity and can write

$$\psi = \psi_0(x) + \alpha \tilde{\psi}(x, y),$$

where \(\tilde{\psi}\) is independent of \(\alpha\) and obeys the boundary conditions \(\psi(\pm a, y) = a B_{t0} \cos ky\).
Ideal magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) cannot predict the timescale for magnetic reconnection (magnetic field changes that violate the topological frozen-in-flux condition). However, on a long enough length scale and a short enough time scale, the intermediate states in the evolution of driven\cite{16-18} or spontaneous\cite{19} magnetic reconnection can be described as a continuous sequence of “global” MHD equilibrium states (i.e., states that satisfy the boundary conditions and internal force balance). In the present paper we do not seek to describe the reconnection process in detail, merely to find analytically solvable Grad–Shafranov equilibria that plausibly illustrate a possible reconnection scenario.

In Sec. II, it is pointed out that the Grad–Shafranov equation in slab geometry can include current sheets in two ways—either as a superposition of $\delta$-function current-density sources or as cuts in the $x,y$ plane, the latter being the viewpoint used in this paper. Force balance provides the boundary conditions on the cuts. Current profiles are given such that the Grad–Shafranov equation in slab geometry becomes a linear Poisson equation and our definition of the HK equilibrium problem is made precise.

Hahm and Kulsrud\cite{14} found two exact MHD equilibrium solutions, one involving a full current sheet covering the plane $x=0$ and one describing a magnetic island with no current sheet. The current sheet solution may be viewed as representing how a shielding current [e.g., Ref. 20] initially arises in order to prevent reconnection after a resonant perturbation is turned on, the magnetic island solution being interpreted as the end state after a sufficient time has elapsed that reconnection has run its course and an island has “opened.” In Sec. III, we review the Hahm–Kulsrud (HK) solutions and show that their full current sheet is one of a continuous infinity of full current sheet solutions differing by the strength of a constant intensity of current in the sheet, the HK solution ($\psi_1$ in Sec. III) being the one with zero net current.

The existence of solutions to the HK equilibrium problem with either a full current sheet or no current sheet raises the possibility that there may be more solutions, intermediate between the two solutions found in Ref. 14. As discussed above, current sheets can be unstable to the formation of plasmoids embedded in the current sheet. Thus we seek ideal equilibria that illustrate topologically a scenario for the decay of the shielding HKT current sheet via a plasmoid mechanism of island formation, continuously connecting the two extreme HK solutions. While our solution is not exact, except for the two HK limiting cases, it satisfies Eq. (1) very accurately [error $O(e^{-3k\lambda})$] so could presumably be made exact by a small perturbation of our ansatz.

A typical plasmoid case is depicted in Fig. 2, where current sheets, the black horizontal lines of length $2L=\lambda/3$, alternate with plasmoids of horizontal width $2\lambda/3$. A magnified view of a typical current sheet end-point for the case $L=\lambda/2$ is shown in Fig. 3. Note that, in order to find an analytic solution, we have used the Syrovatsky approach, which, as it does not include separatrix current sheets, gives $Y$-like bifurcated poloidal flux contours at the ends of Sweet–Parker current sheets (Case 1 of Ref. 16). The more general physical case (Case 2 of Ref. 16) is that there are secondary current sheets on the separatrices, leading to cusped ($Y$-like) flux contour bifurcations, but this is probably not amenable to a global analytic solution comparable to the one we present here.

In Sec. IV, we review Syrovatsky’s complex variable approach to finding an analytic solution of Laplace’s equation that represents a large-scale view of a Sweet–Parker current sheet [e.g., Ref. 18] (see Fig. 7 in Sec. IV). Syrovatsky’s solution was obtained by using a conformal mapping from the simpler solution for the field around a neutral point in the poloidal field.

In Sec. V, we introduce a new, periodic conformal mapping to transform the Syrovatsky solution into a plasmoid solution of the HK equilibrium problem.

In Sec. VI, we analyze the mismatch between the boundary condition Eq. (1) and $\psi$ obtained from our conformal mapping.
mapping ansatz. We present this error both graphically, vs. y and L in a typical case, and also give an analytic expression for the first nonvanishing term (6th order!) in an expansion in the small parameter $\epsilon \equiv \exp(-ak/2)$.

In this paper, we have made no attempt to constrain the members of our equilibrium sequence to represent almost-ideal MHD time evolution. Thus this work, of itself, cannot be regarded as a study of reconnection. However, in Sec. VII, we indicate two approaches to be explored in further work on developing a physical plasmoid reconnection scenario: a relaxed MHD approach that leads naturally to linear Grad–Shafranov equations with no current sheets on separatrices, and an almost-ideal MHD approach that will probably require numerical solution but includes separatix current sheets.

II. GRAD–SHAFRANOV EQUATION WITH CURRENT SHEETS

For analyzing equilibria with ideal (zero thickness) current sheets, the force-balance condition is best written in the conservation form,

$$\nabla \cdot \left[ p + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} I - \frac{B B}{\mu_0} \right] = 0,$$

where $p$ is the plasma pressure and $B$ is the magnetic field (SI units). In regions where $p$ and $B$ are differentiable, this implies the force balance condition, $j \times B = Vp$, where $j = \mu_0^{-1} (V \times B)$ is the plasma current. However, in the neighborhood of an ideal current sheet, $p$ and $B$ are not everywhere differentiable and we need to use generalized functions, like the Dirac delta function $\delta(z)$, to find weak solutions of Eq. (3).

However, we can avoid using generalized functions explicitly by cutting the $x, y$ plane along its intersections with current sheets and solving on the cut plane with appropriate boundary conditions on the cuts. The boundary conditions on the two sides $\pm$ of a current sheet are found to be [Ref. 21, Appendix A] the tangentiality conditions,

$$n \cdot B = 0$$

and the pressure-balance jump condition,

$$\left[ p + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right] = 0.$$

The first condition implies that an equilibrium current sheet must be a tangential discontinuity in $B$.

In the case of a cylindrical or slab plasma of arbitrary cross section (independent of $z$), a general representation for the equilibrium magnetic field is

$$B = V_z \times V \psi + F(\psi) V_z,$$

where $\psi(x, y)$ is the flux function defined in Sec. I, $x, y, z$ being Cartesian coordinates with the $z$-axis in the symmetry direction. Equation (4) implies that the two sides of an equilibrium current sheet are level surfaces of $\psi_\pm$ (in fact $\psi$ must be continuous across the current sheet, $\psi_- = \psi_+$, to avoid infinite poloidal magnetic field there) while Eq. (5) gives

$$\left[ p + \left| \nabla \psi \right|^2 + F^2 \right] = 0.$$

Taking the curl of Eq. (6) we find, everywhere except on a cut,

$$\mu_0 J = \nabla \psi \times \nabla \psi + F^2(\psi) V_\psi \times V_z,$$

where $\nabla^2$ is the 2-dimensional Laplacian, $\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$, and $F^2 \equiv \partial F / \partial \psi$.

Summarizing, the equilibrium condition Eq. (3) is satisfied if and only if the two-dimensional Grad–Shafranov equation for axisymmetric static MHD equilibria,

$$\nabla^2 \psi + \partial_\psi \left[ \mu_0 p(\psi) + \frac{1}{2} F(\psi)^2 \right] = 0,$$

is satisfied everywhere except on cuts, where the current sheet force-balance condition Eq. (7) applies instead.

Equation (9) is in general nonlinear, but consider the special case $\mu_0 p(\psi) + \frac{1}{2} F(\psi)^2 = \text{const} - (B_a/a) \psi$ for which Eq. (9) becomes a Poisson equation, $\nabla^2 \psi = B_a/a$, linear in $\psi$. This can be solved as a linear superposition, $\psi_0 + \psi_h$, where $\psi_h$ is a harmonic function, i.e., a solution of the Laplace equation,

$$\nabla^2 \psi_h = 0,$$

determined by the boundary conditions. Comparing with Eq. (2), we identify $\psi_h = x \psi_0$. The wall boundary conditions Eq. (1), current sheets on the $y$-axis, the assumed form of $\psi_0(x)$, and Eq. (10), make up what we call the HKT equilibrium problem, whose scope we expand by considering a wider class of current sheet cuts in the domain on which Eq. (10) is to be solved. This has the consequence that $\psi$ cannot be assumed necessarily to be sinusoidal in $y$.

Noting that $\|\psi\| = 0$, we see from the assumption $\mu_0 p(\psi) + \frac{1}{2} F(\psi)^2 = \text{const} - (B_a/a) \psi$ that the equilibrium jump condition Eq. (7) simplifies to

$$\|\nabla \psi\|^2 = 0.$$

Although $\psi$ is continuous, and by Eq. (11), $|\nabla \psi| \text{ is continuous, } V \psi$ can be discontinuous, its jump giving the intensity $j_\psi$ of the $\delta$-function component of the current $j = V \times B / \mu_0$,

$$j_\psi = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left[ F'(\psi) V_\psi \times V_z + \left( \frac{B_z}{a} + \left[ \partial_\psi \psi \partial(x) \right] V_z \right) \right]$$

at each point on the current sheets on the $y$-axis, whence $j_\psi (y) = \|\partial \psi\| / \mu_0$.

III. GENERALIZED HK SHIELDING SOLUTIONS

Hahn and Kulstered found two solutions of the HKT equilibrium problem, a shielding current sheet solution
\( \tilde{\psi}_1(x, y) = aB_0 \left[ \sinh(kx) \cos(ky) / \sinh(ka) \right] \), and a fully developed island solution \( \tilde{\psi}_2(x, y) = aB_0 \cosh(kx) \cos(ky) / \cosh(ka) \) with no current sheet.\(^2\)

It is easily verified that

\[
\tilde{\psi}_1(x, y) = aB_0 \left[ \sinh(kx) \cos(ky) + \gamma_S (|x| - a) \right] / \sinh(ka),
\]

where \( \gamma_S \) is an arbitrary constant, also satisfies the HKT equilibrium problem. The inclusion of the \( \gamma_S \) term represents a small but important generalization of the HK shielding current sheet solution that allows the dc level of the current in the sheet to be adjusted, as illustrated in Fig. 4.

This has a rather profound influence on the topology of the \( \psi \) contours, as illustrated in Figs. 5 and 6 where it is seen that the HK solution \( \psi \) has saddle points at the current-reversal points \( x = 0, y = \pm \lambda \pm \pi n \lambda / 2, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), where the \( \psi = 0 \) contour bifurcates off the \( y \)-axis, forming magnetic islands.

In the following sections, we obtain these solutions as limiting cases of a new family of solutions \( \tilde{\psi} \) obtained using a conformal mapping approach,\(^2\) which relies on the facts that the real or imaginary part of any analytic function, \( F_\xi(z), \xi \equiv x + iy \), is harmonic, and that the composition of two analytic functions is itself analytic.

### IV. THE SYROVATSKY CURRENT SHEET

The double-valued analytic functions\(^6,24\)

\[
F_\xi(u) = \text{sgn} (\text{Re} u) \{ u(u^2 + 1)^{1/2} + \gamma_S \ln [u + (u^2 + 1)^{1/2}] \}, \tag{14}
\]

\[
F_\xi'(u) = \text{sgn} (\text{Re} u) \frac{2u^2 + 1 + \gamma_S}{(u^2 + 1)^{1/2}}, \tag{15}
\]

defined on the complex \( u \)-plane with two Riemann sheets joined by a cut joining branch points \( u = \pm i \), may be used to define the harmonic functions \( \psi_\xi(x', y') \equiv \text{Re} F_\xi(x' + iy') \) and \( \partial_\xi \psi_\xi(x', y') = \text{Re} F_\xi'(x' + iy') \). The former can be interpreted as the flux function for a Sweet–Parker current sheet positioned on the cut between \( y' = \pm 1 \) and the latter gives the intensity of the current sheet on the cut.

FIG. 5. Jumps in the gradient of \( \psi \) across the \( y \)-axis, giving the intensity of the sheet currents by Eq. (12), for the original HK fully screened solution \( \gamma_S = 0 \) (dashed) and the modified HK solution \( \gamma_S = 1 \) (solid) for which the current sheet intensity never goes negative.

The form in Eq. (14) is that used in Ref. 6, with square root \( z^{1/2} \) and natural logarithm \( \ln z \) defined as usual on the complex \( z \)-plane cut along the negative real axis. The step function factor, \( \text{sgn} (\text{Re} u) = \pm 1 \), is needed to make the cut a straight line joining \( y' = \pm 1 \) rather than make two cuts radiating outward to infinity. The function defined by Eq. (14) is obtained by the following substitutions in Syrovatsky’s\(^2\) Eq. (44):

\[
n \rightarrow 2, \quad b \rightarrow i, \quad A \rightarrow \pi i \quad \frac{2}{z}, \quad x \rightarrow 2, \quad \Gamma \rightarrow 2 \pi \gamma_S, \quad z \rightarrow z'.
\]

It is seen from Eq. (15) that in general the sheet current intensity diverges at the endpoints \( y' = \pm 1 \), but the choice \( \gamma_S = 1 \) (Ref. 24, Eq. (47)) makes the current go continuously to zero at the endpoints, giving rise to the \( Y \)-points seen in Fig. 7. This figure shows contours of \( \psi_\xi(x', y') \) in the \( y', x' \) plane, the thick horizontal line indicating the cut/current sheet, and the thinner continuous lines the magnetic field lines. The ellipses and hyperbolas form a visualization of a periodic conformal map shown in Sec. V to convert Syrovatsky’s single current sheet solution into a plasmoid solution of the HKT equilibrium problem.

### V. SINUSOIDAL TRANSFORMATION

The analytic function

\[
f(\zeta) = \frac{\sinh \left( \frac{1}{2} k \zeta \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{1}{2} k L \right)}
\]

FIG. 6. Contours of \( \psi - \psi_{\text{cut}} \) near the \( y \)-axis for the modified fully screened solution \( \psi = \psi_0 + \gamma_S \tilde{\psi} \) with \( \gamma_S = 1 \), showing the simple topology of the magnetic surfaces in this case.
will be used to map the single current sheet in the Syrovatsky solution Eq. (14) to a periodic sequence of current sheets of length $2L$, replacing the $X$-points at $y = n\lambda$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ in the fully reconnected (magnetic island) solution of Ref. 14, where $\lambda = 2\pi/k$ is the wavelength of the boundary perturbation.

Its appropriateness for this purpose will be verified below a posteriori, but as partial motivation for this ansatz we note some useful properties of $f$,

- $f(x) = \sinh(1/2kx)/\sin(1/2kL)$,
- $f(iy) = \sin(1/2ky)/\sin(1/2kL)$,
- $f(x \pm i\lambda) = \pm i\cosh(1/2kx)/\sin(1/2kL)$,
- $f(x + iy)$ is periodic in $y$ with wavelength $2\lambda$, but $f(x + iy)^2$ has wavelength $\lambda$.

- The only zeros of $f(\zeta)$ are at $\zeta = in\lambda$, i.e., at the $X$-points of the fully reconnected solution, while at the first $O$-point, $y = \lambda/2$, $f(i\lambda/2) = i/\sin(1/2kL)$ ranges from $i$ in the fully reconnected case, $L = \lambda/2$, to $i\infty$ in the fully shielded case, $L = 0$.
- The double-valued function $\sin(1/2kL)|1 + f(y)^2|^{1/2}$ is $\equiv (\sin(1/2kL) - \sin(1/2\lambda))\sin(1/2kL) + \sin(1/2k\lambda))^{1/2}$, has, within the strip $-\lambda/2 < \lambda \leq \lambda/2$, two branch points, located at the endpoints $\zeta = \pm L$, of the sought-for current sheet.

We now use the conformal map $\zeta' = f(\zeta)$ to transform the Syrovatsky function to a function of $\zeta$, $F_S(\zeta) = F_S \circ f(\zeta)$, that provides the harmonic function $\psi(x,y)$ through the equation,

$$\psi(x,y) = aB_x c + \text{Re}F_S(\zeta'),$$

where $\zeta' = f(x + iy)$ and the constants $c$ and $d$ are determined by requiring that the boundary condition $\psi(\pm a, y) = aB_0 \cos ky$ be satisfied to a good approximation (see Sec. VI).

Figure 2 illustrates how this transformation results in a typical plasmoid structure for $0 < L < \lambda/2$. A graphical visualization of the transformation may be had by comparing the mesh in Fig. 8 with its image in Fig. 7. Figure 1 illustrates the decay of the ripple away from the boundary before it is amplified by the resonance effect near the poloidal field reversal region, $|x| \sim 0$, as seen in Figs. 5 and 6.
We can now verify a posteriori that the boundary conditions are satisfied to high accuracy for all $y$ in typical cases. For instance, in Fig. 10 we plot $\bar{e}_w(y)$ for the same case as shown in Fig. 8 and see that the conditions in Eq. (19) null out any constant error and the fundamental, $\cos ky$, leaving only a second harmonic error proportional to $\cos 2ky$, with an amplitude that is extremely small in the case studied.

Noting that the error is an even function, periodic in $y$, we see that $y = 0$ is a maximum point of the absolute value of the error. In Fig. 11, we plot this maximum error vs. the halfwidth, $L$, of the current sheet. This figure shows that the error is zero for the two HKT cases $L = 0$ (complete reconnection) and $L = \lambda/2$ (complete shielding), and nowhere gets much larger than it does in the typical intermediate case depicted in Figs. 8 and 10.

In the plots, Eq. (19) has been solved numerically, but to understand why the error is so extraordinarily small, it is instructive to perform a perturbation expansion in $\epsilon \equiv \exp(-ak/2)$ ($= 0.0432\ldots$ for the standard illustrative values defined in the caption to Fig. 1), which allows us to separate those terms that are large at $x = a$ from those that are small. For instance,

$$f(a + iy) \equiv \frac{\epsilon^{-1} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} i ky \right) - \epsilon \exp \left( - \frac{1}{2} i ky \right)}{2 \sin \left( \frac{1}{2} kL \right)}.$$  (20)

Expanding Eq. (17) in $\epsilon$ and solving for $c$ and $d$ such that the constant and $\cos ky$ terms in $\bar{e}_w(y)$ vanish we find, to first nonvanishing order, the residual boundary error,

$$|\tilde{\psi}(a,0) - \cos ky|$$

FIG. 11. The residual boundary error maximized over $y$, i.e., $|\tilde{\psi}(a,0) - 1|$, vs. $L$.

$$\tilde{\psi}(a,y) - aB_0 \cos ky = -\frac{aB_0}{4} e^{-3ak} \sin^2 kL \times [2 - \gamma_s - (2 - 3\gamma_s) \cos kL] \cos 2ky,$$  (21)

which is $O(\epsilon^3)$, thus explaining the smallness of the error found numerically.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper demonstrates that solutions to the global ideal-MHD equilibrium problem are far from unique when interior current sheets are allowed. As mentioned in the Introduction, we have made no attempt in this paper to construct a physical reconnection sequence. However, it is highly suggestive that evolution through a plasmoid phase represents a topologically reasonable mechanism for an initial shielding current sheet to open into a magnetic island. To establish this scenario as a reconnection mechanism, two approaches appear promising, both applying a subset of the ideal-MHD constraints:

1. A minimally constrained or relaxed MHD approach based on a generalization of Taylor's relaxation to include more ideal-MHD invariants than the magnetic helicity constraint assumed by Taylor, but only a sufficient number to capture the qualitative essence of the evolution (cf., Refs. 26 and 27). A noncanonical Hamiltonian approach has recently been developed in which the ideal-MHD constraints appear as Casimir invariants. In this work it was shown that bifurcation of a cylindrical Taylor relaxed state to a helical relaxed state can be frustrated by introducing a singular Casimir invariant corresponding to the shielding HKT current sheet, the magnetic field everywhere else in the plasma being given by the linear Beltrami equation, $V \times B = \mu B$, found by Taylor. This suggests seeking, in slab geometry, a sequence of plasmoid solutions analogous to those found in the present paper, especially in the limit $\mu \to 0$ where the Beltrami field reduces to a harmonic field corresponding to $\tilde{\psi}$.

2. A maximally constrained or almost-ideal MHD approach assuming ideal MHD applies locally throughout the evolution, except as a plasma passes through a Sweet–Parker current sheet where the frozen-in-flux constraint is relaxed and reconnection can occur. While respecting the detailed physics of the process, it is not amenable to the conformal mapping approach we have used to find analytical solutions as it does not preserve the condition of linearity of $\mu_0 p(\psi) + F(\psi)^2/2$ assumed at the beginning of Sec. V. Furthermore, it implies current sheets on the plasmoid separatrices, so that the simple cut structure of the Syrovatsky solution does not apply. Thus a completely different method of analysis would need to be applied. An interesting approach has been discussed by Kulsrud.

Using either approach to generate an equilibrium sequence with fixed boundary conditions, its applicability as a physically plausible reconnection scenario could be determined, without the necessity of resolving the current sheets into finite-width tearing layers, simply by showing that the
plasma potential energy \( W = \int [p/(\gamma - 1) + B^2/2 \mu_0] dV \) (Ref. 30) decreases monotonically along the sequence, the final state being a minimum of \( W \). Presumably, if two sequences are parametrized by their reconnected fluxes and the graph of the potential energy of one lies below that of the other, then the first sequence is physically preferred. This could be used to determine when and if the symmetry-breaking plasmoid evolution found in Ref. 6 can occur, rather than the symmetric evolution assumed in the present paper.
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